Activated M-phase-promoting factor (MPF) is exported from the nucleus of starfish oocytes to increase the sensitivity of the Ins(1,4,5)P₃ receptors
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Abstract

Starfish oocytes that are extracted from the ovaries are arrested at the prophase of the first meiotic division. At this stage of maturation, they are characterized by a large nucleus called the germinal vesicle. Meiosis resumption (maturation) can be induced in vitro by adding the hormone 1-methyladenine (1-MA) to the seawater in which the oocytes are suspended. Earlier work in our laboratory had detected Ca²⁺ increases in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus of the oocytes approx. 2 min after the 1-MA challenge. The nuclear Ca²⁺ increase was found to be essential for the continuation of the meiotic cycle, since the injection of bis-(o-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N',N'-tetra-acetic acid (BAPTA) into the nuclear compartment completely blocked the re-initiation of the cell cycle. We have recently confirmed, using confocal microscopy, that the cytoplasmic and nuclear Ca²⁺ pools are regulated independently and that the nuclear envelope in starfish oocytes is not freely permeated by the Ca²⁺ wave that sweeps across the nuclear region. Studies by others have shown that the sensitivity of the Ins(1,4,5)P₃ (IP₃) receptors (IP₃Rs) to IP₃ increases during oocyte maturation, so that they release progressively more calcium in response to the injection of IP₃, as maturation proceeds. We have now shown that the increased sensitivity of the IP₃Rs may depend on the activation of the cyclin-dependent kinase, MPF (M-phase-promoting factor) that occurs in the nucleus. MPF does not directly phosphorylate IP₃Rs but phosphorylates instead the actin-binding protein actin depolymerization factor (ADF)/cofilin.

Nuclear calcium signaling

Evident Ca²⁺ swings have been described in oocytes of the starfish Asterina pectinifera during the resumption of meiosis (maturation process) [1], which culminates in the breakdown of the nuclear envelope of the large nucleus, called the germinal vesicle (GVBD), approx. 30 min after the initiation of exposure to 1-methyladenine (1-MA). The maturation process is induced by the hormone 1-MA, and is characterized by the gradual increase of the sensitivity of the cytoplasmic Ins(1,4,5)P₃ (IP₃) receptors (IP₃Rs) to their own ligand, IP₃ [2]. A linkage may exist between the sensitization of the receptors and the Ca²⁺ swings, which occur both in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus of the oocytes [1]. The matter of the Ca²⁺ waves in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus in preparation for meiosis is the subject of a vigorous debate, essentially centred on whether cytosolic Ca²⁺ signals are attenuated at the level of the nuclear envelope or immediately and passively transmitted to the nucleoplasm [3,4]. A number of cell types have provided compelling evidence in favour of persistent gradients of Ca²⁺ between the cytoplasm and the nucleus [5], as well as evidence that Ca²⁺ can be released into the nucleoplasm from nuclear tubular structures [6]. However, equally convincing experiments on other cells have failed to detect significant attenuation in the transmission of the cytosolic Ca²⁺ waves to the nucleus in stimulated cells, and have shown that the kinetics of the Ca²⁺ increase in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus are similar [7,8]. Recent work using a new generation of fluorescent proteins, termed ‘pericams’, and dual-excitation ratiometric dyes that minimize the effects of artefacts that are unrelated to changes in the concentration of free Ca²⁺, have shown a delay in the transmission of the Ca²⁺ wave at the border between the cytosol and the nucleus, suggesting a restricted permeability of the nuclear envelope to Ca²⁺ ions [9]. Oocytes, particularly those of starfish, have been the source of the most convincing experiments in favour of the idea that the nucleus is insulated from cytosolic Ca²⁺ transients [1]. However, other cells, such as polarized pancreatic acinar cells, have also shown that the nucleus is protected from the relatively large Ca²⁺ transients that are generated in the secretory pole [10]. In this case, the mechanism of attenuation has not been attributed to the nuclear envelope itself, but to a sieving mechanism performed by perinuclear mitochondria, which protect the nuclear area against invasion by the Ca²⁺ waves. It has also been suggested
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that perinuclear mitochondria play an important role in confining Ca$^{2+}$ signals that are generated inside the nucleus to this organelle [11]. To sum up the most important recent evidence, a consensus of opinion is now emerging, according to which the Ca$^{2+}$ barrier at the nuclear envelope may be a cell-specific property, particularly evident in oocytes.

Our laboratory has been working on oocytes since 1994 [1] and has produced a number of results using either confocal microscopy or a sensitive CCD (charge-coupled device) camera, which have analysed the traffic of Ca$^{2+}$ between the cytoplasm and the nucleus in detail [12,13].

A particularly significant experiment is described in Figure 1, which shows an immature oocyte injected in the cytoplasm with Oregon Green 488 bis-(o-aminophenoxy)-ethane-N,N,N′,N′-tetra-acetic acid (BAPTA)-1 (OGBD) coupled to 10 kDa dextran. After approx. 15 min, the dye can be assumed to have diffused to the entire cytoplasm and to the nucleus as well (first fluorescence image). At this point, 1-MA was added to the medium. In this particular oocyte, which was observed with a sensitive CCD camera, a Ca$^{2+}$ wave was initiated 2 min and 20 s later. Strikingly, the wave initiated at a circumscribed point with a concave front beneath the plasma membrane, expanded to a cortical half moon, and eventually invaded all of the cytoplasm. The relative fluorescence images taken 2 min and 28 s after the application of 1-MA show that the Ca$^{2+}$ wave eventually engulfed the nucleus but its penetration into it was delayed by about 2–4 s. Evidently, the nucleus was not passively permeated by the Ca$^{2+}$ that had increased in the perinuclear area following 1-MA stimulation. The mechanism by which the Ca$^{2+}$ wave becomes globalized before meiosis is under debate, and recent work has surprisingly denied the involvement of IP$_3$Rs in the maturation process of starfish oocytes [14]. Since it is now documented that most enzymes of the phosphoinositide machinery are also present in both the nuclear envelope and the nuclear matrix [15,16], it is plausible to assume that IP$_3$ that is liberated within the nucleus in response to plasma membrane signals may induce the increase of Ca$^{2+}$ in the nucleoplasm. This is supported by the experiment shown in Figure 2(A) in which IP$_3$ was injected directly into the nucleus of an oocyte that had also been previously injected with OGBD conjugated to 70 kDa dextran. The size of the dye obviously prevented its diffusion to the cytoplasm. The relative fluorescence images of the Ca$^{2+}$ increase detected with a CCD camera, together with graph of Figure 2(C), show that the injection of IP$_3$ elicited a very evident Ca$^{2+}$ increase that started at the point of the IP$_3$ delivery and propagated to the entire nucleoplasm. The same results were obtained using a confocal microscope (not shown). The observation that the increase of nuclear Ca$^{2+}$ was not confined to the inner membrane of the nuclear envelope is in line with the recent finding that antibodies against the subtype 2 of the IP$_3$R, which is abundantly expressed in different mammalian cells, interact with intranuclear structures [17]. The nuclear Ca$^{2+}$ increase declined after approx. 20 s, but failed to reach the baseline, remaining instead very elevated for the entire duration of the experiment. The criticism that the injection of IP$_3$ may have brought contaminating Ca$^{2+}$ into the nucleus is proven invalid by the lack of any Ca$^{2+}$ increase following the delivery of the injection buffer without IP$_3$. In addition, the injection
of 1 mM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} into the nucleus raised very significantly the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} level there (Figure 2B). This was naturally expected; however, at variance with IP\textsubscript{3}, after 15–20 s, the signal declined and disappeared completely in approx. 40 s. Evidently, then, IP\textsubscript{3}, which is known to act on cytosolic endoplasmic reticulum receptors, also liberates Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the nucleoplasm acting from within the nucleus itself. Logically, one would have to assume that the nuclear targets of IP\textsubscript{3} are IP\textsubscript{3}Rs of the nuclear envelope. However, the alternative unorthodox suggestion could be cautiously advanced that IP\textsubscript{3} may have other targets within the nucleus, which are unrelated to the nuclear envelope membranes. In this connection, the recent finding that antibodies against the IP\textsubscript{3}Rs of starfish oocytes stained the nucleoplasm, even after the nuclear envelope had disappeared, is certainly interesting [14]. An interesting point is the complete disappearance of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} that was injected into the nucleus in approx. 40 s (Figure 2B). Three possibilities could account for the observation. (i) Ca\textsuperscript{2+} could be taken up into the lumen of the nuclear envelope [18]. This is a distinct possibility, but it has been claimed that the ATPase that should mediate the uptake of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is only located on the cytosolic side of the envelope [19]. (ii) Ca\textsuperscript{2+} could exit from the nucleoplasm via the envelope pores [20]. This is certainly a realistic possibility; however, we have recently performed experiments on starfish oocytes showing that the diffusion of injected uncaged Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the cytoplasm is severely restricted (Figure 3). Ca\textsuperscript{3+} was monitored with a confocal microscope in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus, since the cell was injected with OGBD conjugated to 10 kDa dextran. The uncaging of 100 µM Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in a zone beneath the plasma membrane produced an immediate strong elevation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the region of irradiation, which declined to the baseline approx. 40 s later without diffusing to either neighbouring domains of the cell or the nucleus. The mechanisms by which the diffusion of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} was so severely restricted are reminiscent of classical observations on squid axons [21], but may be more than one, beginning with the rapid uptake into the matrix of neighbouring mitochondria [11]. Therefore, if Ca\textsuperscript{2+} had exited from the nucleus through the pores, it would, in all likelihood, have remained confined to the perinuclear space. (iii) Finally, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the nucleoplasm could become buffered by non-membranous structures, e.g. specific proteins. At this point, it may also be added that CD38, the enzyme that synthesizes the second messenger cADP-ribose, hitherto considered to be an ectoenzyme, has been recently identified in the inner nuclear membrane [22].

**Cytoskeleton and the increased sensitivity of the IP\textsubscript{3}Rs**

To return to the central theme of the present paper, i.e. the increased sensitivity of the IP\textsubscript{3}Rs in oocytes during the maturation process, the main point is that more Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is released at the end of maturation compared with that released in an immature oocyte that is injected with the same amount of IP\textsubscript{3}, [2]. The sensitization process has been recently shown not to be due to the increased expression of IP\textsubscript{3}Rs [14]. We have recently confirmed these results using the caged variant of IP\textsubscript{3}, and have investigated the pattern of development of the increased sensitivity of the IP\textsubscript{3}Rs during the maturation process in some detail. We have found that it starts at the animal hemisphere of the oocytes 12 min after the addition of 1-MA and then propagates along the animal/vegetal axis of the cell. The increased sensitivity coincides with a previously undetected Ca\textsuperscript{2+} increase around the nucleus which precedes GVBD (D. Lim, E. Ercolano, K. Kyouzuka, K. Lange and L. Santedda, unpublished work) and which is assumed to stimulate the IP\textsubscript{3}Rs. The molecular details of the process by which Ca\textsuperscript{2+} leaves the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum through the open channels in the receptors have not been clarified, but the process is expected to be complex. Various means of regulating (gating) the channels have been described, ranging from the binding of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} itself [23,24], and calcium binding proteins [25], through phosphorylation reactions mediated by protein kinases A and C, and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II [26,27], to the interaction with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and calmodulin [28].

Recent experiments in our laboratory have now identified a possible involvement of the actin cytoskeleton in the function of the receptors based on a massive liberation of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the cytoplasm of mature starfish oocytes induced by the actin depolymerizing drug latrunculin-A [29]. Although latrunculin-A could, in principle, liberate Ca\textsuperscript{2+} directly from actin, it seemed worth exploring the possibility that its depolymerization acted instead by activating the IP\textsubscript{3}R channels. Thus it was decided to search for a natural mechanism that would induce the same changes in the actin cytoskeleton as those that were initiated by latrunculin-A. One plausible possibility seemed to be a kinase-mediated phosphorylation process. The working hypothesis was entertained that such a kinase could be the M-phase-promoting factor (MPF), a key regulator of the cell-cycle progression, which consists of the regulatory cyclin B and the Cdc2 protein kinase. The hypothesis was prompted by previous work that had shown that MPF regulated the

---

**Figure 3 | Local uncaging of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} generates a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} spike, which does not propagate across the oocyte**

An immature oocyte pre-injected with OGBD coupled to 10 kDa and caged Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (nitrophenyl-EGTA) was exposed to UV confocal laser light. A small region of the oocyte beneath the plasma membrane (region 1) was selectively irradiated to liberate Ca\textsuperscript{2+}. The local uncaging of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} generated a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} spike, which did not propagate across the cytoplasm (region 2) and to the nucleus (region 3), as indicated by the graph.
IP$_3$-dependent Ca$^{2+}$ oscillatory signals in ascidian oocytes [30] and the Ca$^{2+}$ signal at fertilization in sea urchin eggs [31]. At this point, it was logical to involve the nucleus in the process because, during the maturation process of starfish oocytes, the final process that transforms MPF into an active kinase occurs in the nucleus, and we had previously shown that the removal of the nucleus from the oocytes prior to the application of 1-MA to induce the resumption of the meiotic cycle greatly affected the Ca$^{2+}$ elevation that was induced either by the photoliberation of injected caged IP$_3$, or by the direct challenge with the sperm [32]. Thus it was decided to measure the activity of MPF in starfish oocytes from which the nucleus was removed, and in which the propagation of the Ca$^{2+}$ wave following the injection of IP$_3$ was inhibited [30]. The experiments have recently shown that the activity of MPF in the lysates of enucleated oocytes was decreased by about 50%, i.e. there was a parallel between the decreased effect of IP$_3$ and the decreased activity of MPF (D. Lim, E. Ercoleano, K. Kyozuka, K. Lange and L. Santella, unpublished work). The parallel could, of course, be purely coincidental and it was therefore decided to investigate whether active MPF could phosphorylate the actin cytoskeleton. This would have provided more direct support for the suggestion of MPF involvement in the altered function of the IP$_3$Rs. The experiments have indeed revealed that active MPF phosphorylated a partially purified actin preparation. Interestingly, IP$_3$Rs and actin itself did not become phosphorylated. The target of MPF phosphorylation was instead a low-molecular-mass protein that bound actin in the partially purified preparation. Work is now in progress to identify this protein: preliminary experiments indicate that it may be actin depolymerization factor (ADF)/cofilin.

In conclusion, the work detailed in the present paper has shown that the nucleus, or, more precisely, one of its components, participates in the regulation of the sensitivity of the IP$_3$Rs to IP$_3$. It appears likely that the increased sensitivity may be linked to a change in the interaction of components of the actin cytoskeleton, i.e. ADF/cofilin with the IP$_3$Rs, as previously suggested for ankyrin [33]. The fine mechanism by which this interaction brings about the regulation of the receptor channels will be the subject of future investigations.
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